OUTLINE

- Cyber is a new dimension
- Cyber is people and information
- Cyber is Calvinball
Attempting to synthesize the various aspects of cyber conflict: technology, information, people, data
CONFLICT
- Advantage: develop and exploit advantage
- Disadvantage: arrange for the opposition to have
- Dislocation: render opposition’s strength irrelevant
- Confrontation: engage their strength
- Balance: dynamic situations lead to adaptation
- The opposition will not play along with your plan
A BRIEF HISTORY OF WAR
THE BEGINNING

TWO DOMAINS: LAND + SEA
LAND

• Advantage/Disadvantage
  • Location: in front, behind, sides, rear
• Dislocation
  • Overwhelm, better tech (rare), better position
• Balance
  • Maneuver war
SEA

- Advantage/Disadvantage
- Position, tactics
  (crossing the T)
- Dislocation
- Overwhelm, tech,
NEW DOMAINS
• Air - the third domain
  • 1910s
• Space - the fourth domain
  • 1960s
• Cyber - the fifth domain
  • 1980s
AIR WAR

THE FIRST NEW DOMAIN
AIR - 3D
No aerofoils, the Fokker mono-wing used wing warping. The Immelmann turn is a hack to compensate for limitations of the technology, much as a hacker would discover and exploit. The plane was so bad that to land you had to “blip” (turn off fuel flow) to the engine... do it repeatedly to slow down, a mistake and the engine explodes.

https://youtu.be/gfh7X4Jsgak?t=91
• Theory:
  • Create planes with high maneuverability to allow skilled pilots to best less skilled pilots

• Praxis:
  • Show up with more planes, above and behind the target, and ambush them
• Theory got wrong — Advantage was not a matter of individual skill. That is a fair fight. Fighting fair is a gamble

• Praxis revealed — Advantage came from the usual factors, location and overwhelming force.
- Advantage/Disadvantage
- Location: above, below
  - Reconnaissance flights and fashion
- Dislocation: location based, above and behind
- Confrontation: dogfights
CHAPTER I

The New Form of War

THE TECHNICAL MEANS OF WARFARE

AERONAUTICS OPENED up to men a new field of action, the field of the air. In so doing it of necessity created a new battlefield; for wherever two men meet, conflict is inevitable. In actual fact, aeronautics was widely employed in warfare long before any civilian use was made of it. Still in its infancy at the outbreak of the World War, this new science received then a powerful impetus to military development.

The practical use of the air arm was at first only vaguely understood. This new arm had sprung suddenly into the field of war; and its characteristics, radically different from those of any other arm employed up to that time, were still undefined. Very few possibilities of this new instrument of war were recognized when it first appeared. Many people took the extreme position that it was impossible to fight in the air; others admitted only that it might prove a useful auxiliary to already existing means of war.

At first the speed and freedom of action of the airplane—the air arm chiefly used in the beginning—caused it to be considered primarily an instrument of exploration and reconnaissance. Then gradually the idea of using it as a range-finder for the artillery grew up. Next, its obvious advantages over surface means led to its being used to attack the enemy on and behind his own lines, but no great importance was attached to this function because it was thought that the airplane was incapable of transporting any heavy load of offensive materiel. Then, as the need of countering enemy aerial operations was felt, antiaircraft guns and the so-called pursuit planes came into being.
CYBER IS A NEW DIMENSION
View from flat land. A 1D plane
The intersection appears as a point.
The intersection appears as a line from 1D.
From 2D it is a circle.
From 3D it is a sphere...
Cyber requires viewing that extra dimension
- Dimensions are relevant where they intersect with the world
- Cyber has four intersections
  - Active
  - Passive
  - Physical
  - Cognitive
Actively changing data, accessing a system, interacting with things…
8200 vs. PLO finances.
PASSIVE

GFE = Google Front End Server

SSL Added and Removed here!

Traffic in clear text here.
Stuxnet is the classic example, but NotPetya (shut down factories, including Durex), and also Lazarus vs. SWIFT… physically getting money out.
This is getting big play now cause PSYOPS, Information Warfare, Influence Ops, Perception Management, etc. are in the news… but cyber is just an information environment. It enables these ancient techniques from Berneys (and Goebbels, and Sefton Delmer, etc) .. propaganda.
If you understand that cyber isn’t computers, but really people and info, then you can k-level your opponent... but you need to exploit that, which means you need players, teams.
BUREAUCRACY IS AN ENABLER OF CYBER CAPABILITY
“Bureacracy is hard.”

–MARA TAM
FACTORS OF TEAM CAPABILITY

- Adaptability
- Agility
- Speed
- Creativity
- Cohesion
- monolithic mega team:
- Managed worm, basically:
- Unmanaged worm
- Small teams: gives a spectrum of capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meta-Style</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operators Mega-Team</td>
<td>Scalability allows for wide reach with a hands on touch, which in turn allows for being able to have high levels of covert and persistent presence!</td>
<td>Leaks or internal penetration can be fatal to a wide range of efforts. Training a huge team on any more than a handful of toolsets becomes difficult. Operators are not super-technical, which means mistakes get made.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully Automated Suite – No operators just linguists and programmers</td>
<td>Quick to run operations. Entirely scalable. Reliable to a fault.</td>
<td>Only certain exploits will fit the platforms. Platforms require massive investment. No feedback loop. QA is a nightmare.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote Worms</td>
<td>No need for operators! Fire and forget – faster than your opponent can react.</td>
<td>Impossible to predict impact of events. Toolkit is burnt after use, typically.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Many small teams of contractors</td>
<td>Highly innovative. Needs very little initial investment to ramp up.</td>
<td>Managing your supply chain and accompanying OPSEC is hard. Scaling this to any level is expensive.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEGATEAM

Will always suffer because of procurement life cycle.
WHY MEGA TEAMS SUFFER

PROCUREMENT LIFECYCLE

- Process entry at Milestones A, B, or C (or within phases)
- Program outyear funding when it makes sense, but no later than Milestone B

Concept and Technology Development
System Development and Demonstration
Production and Deployment
Sustainment and Disposal

IOC

Pre-Systems Acquisition
Systems Acquisition (Engineering Development, Demonstration, LRIP and Production)
Sustainment and Maintenance

MNS  |  ORD

All validated by JROC

Relationship to Requirements Process
As requirements change, you keep modifying your toolchain, because replacing it is super expensive and slow… but sometimes you have no choice because it gets burned. So, not ideal.
MANAGED WORMS
• Expensive
• Fragile
• Specialized
• Dependent on programmers
  • Weaponised stackoverflow copy pasta
Spectrum of capacity. Crucial if you want to have both HVT and “zero equities CNO”
Zero equities CNO...
• Adaptability
• Agility
• Speed
• Creativity
• Cohesion
Ability to take new technology and exploit it for cyber conflict
Ability to adjust rapidly to changing circumstances and react appropriately... so, taking a blown op and turning it into an information op in less than 24hrs is amazing agility.
Conception to execution. Or, “how many meetings until someone can press enter?”
CREATIVITY

Ability to innovate, create new tools, techniques and methods.
How closely does the cyber team follow the will of the executive. Is it loose, they just kinda do what they think they should.. it is tight, they do exactly what they're told? Do the teams compete with each other or share info?
BEARS
• It’s complicated
• Private operators and orgs
• State intelligence
• Military intelligence
• Lots of teams competing
  • But also formal and informal information sharing
• Freedom to fail
  • Risk tolerant
• Multiple funding sources
• Great creativity, agility, speed, low cohesion, excellent adaptability
“A good plan, violently executed now, is better than the perfect plan next week”

–GENERAL GEORGE S. PATTON
CYBER
It's not SIGINT at rest, it's HUMINT in motion!
• Authority to operate is Title 50 (espionage)
• Authority for offensive PSYOPS is Title 10 (war)
• Authority for defensive IO was State Dept (dissolved in the 1990s)
• Result, all cyber became espionage
• IO becomes a k-level

Bureaucracy is hard...
• Money
• Low agility, adaptability, medium speed, high cohesion
• Complicated and changing

• Loose cohesion
  • For security and deniability

• Low adaptability, agility, medium speed, mixed creativity
  • They shine on targeting, but have a spectrum of capacity
• Necessary to create NATO Cyber
• No one is gonna share secrets
• Create secrets together
• Basically a big “hack me” sign
Not a solution to a tough neighborhood... kids are gonna steal the tires
Every attack has two effects: 1) an impact, 2) a reaction... the reaction will negate the efficacy of that attack, creating diminishing returns over time. Thus attacks need to be changed, combined, innovated... etc.
CAMPAIGNS
passive, active, passive... ultimately about learning about counterintelligence — which accounts are being monitored by the FBI to see which spies have been detected. Sophisticated targeting.
Physical, cognitive ... active, passive... a lot going on. But it was cyber.
active, but ultimately physical … they had to get that money out of the bank DB into cash. Can’t be pure cyber because any change in a DB can be reversed, so it was laundered through casinos physically. Extremely complicated process to turn a SWIFT command into cash
Building a private “facebook” of data about the USG … active, clever targeting, to build a passive DB that will make it very hard to slip in undercover operatives. Also provides some capacity to do assessment and recruiting. But all in the services of intelligence and counterintelligence … how many insurance companies think “we are a nation state intelligence target?”
Purely cognitive... using information from an active and passive campaign, the data was turned into an information operation or Active Measure (or reflexive control, perception management, etc). all just propaganda.
FINAL THOUGHTS
Every weapon or technique has two effects .. the first is a direct effect against the target, the second is the reaction of the target. Because of this things evolve, from the basic “patching” to more complex “harden systems against that attack technique”
NATO IS GONNA GET REKT

Having a big “hack me” sign is not how to survive against swarms of small agile teams.
CHINA IS PULLING EVERYTHING INWARDS

This will be interesting as other countries who are used to being the center of the world find themselves in a multipolar world and later on the periphery …
US IS GREAT AT DEVELOPING TECHNOLOGY AND TERRIBLE AT EXPLOITING IT
Recruited or hired malicious insiders, not just ignorant or disgruntled or whatever... actually really malicious, and trained.
THANK YOU
QUESTIONS?